

A-coupled-expanding and distributional chaos

Cholsan Kim^a, Hyonhui Ju^a, Peter Raith^b

^a*Department of Mathematics, Kim Il Sung University, Pyongyang, D.P.R.Korea*

^b*Fakultät für Mathematik, Universität Wien, Nordbergstraße 15, 1090 Wien, Austria*

Abstract

The concept of A -coupled-expanding map, which is one of the more natural and useful ideas generalized the horseshoe map, is well known as a criterion of chaos. It is well known that distributional chaos is one of the concepts which reflect strong chaotic behaviour. In this paper, we focus the relations between A -coupled-expanding and distributional chaos. We prove two theorems that give sufficient conditions for a strictly A -coupled-expanding map to be distributionally chaotic in the senses of two kinds, where A is an $m \times m$ irreducible transition matrix.

Keywords: Chaos, coupled-expanding map, distributional chaos

2010 MSC: 37B10, 37B99

1. Introduction

The concept of A -coupled-expanding map which has been recognized as one of the important criteria of chaos has been defined in [16]. But when it comes to the A -coupled-expanding map, it has to be noted that this notion goes back to the notion of turbulence introduced by Block and Coppel in [2], which has been considered as an important property of chaotic behaviour for one-dimensional dynamical system. A continuous map $f : I \rightarrow I$, where I is the unit interval, is said to be *turbulent* if there exist closed nondegenerate subintervals J and K with pairwise disjoint interiors such that $f(J) \supset J \cup K, f(K) \supset J \cup K$. Furthermore, it is said to be *strictly turbulent* if the subintervals J and K can be chosen disjoint. Actually, essentially the same concept was studied in one-dimensional dynamical system before by Misiurewicz in [6] and [7]. He called this property “horseshoe”, because it is similar to the Smale’s horseshoe effect. Let $f : I \rightarrow I$ be an interval map and $J_1, \dots, J_n$ be nondegenerate subintervals with pairwise disjoint interiors such that $J_1 \cup \dots \cup J_n \subset f(J_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then $(J_1, \dots, J_n)$ is called an n -horseshoe, or simply a horseshoe if $n \geq 2$.

This concept has been more generalized for general metric spaces. In [17] it has been extended from the concept of turbulence for continuous interval maps to maps in general metric spaces. Since the term “turbulence” is well-established in fluid mechanics, they changed the term “turbulence” to “coupled-expansion” ([15], [18]). There were some results on chaos for coupled-expanding maps ([2], [14], [15], [17], [18] and [24]). Later, this notion was further extended to coupled-expanding maps for a transitive matrix A (simply called A -coupled-expanding map) in [16], which is the same as the concept of coupled-expanding map if each entry of the matrix A equals to 1.

Recently, by applying symbolic dynamical system theory, many important results about criteria of chaos using the A -coupled-expanding map have been established. For instance, it has been verified that under certain conditions, the strictly A -coupled-expanding map is chaotic in the sense of Li-Yorke or Devaney or Wiggins([4], [14], [15], [16], [22]). Also, it was proved that some A -coupled-expanding map has positive topological entropy in [11], [23].

On the other hand, since the concept of distributional chaos have been introduced for the first time in [13], a large number of papers have been devoted to the study on distributional chaos, including researches on the relations between the distributional chaos and many other definitions of chaos such as Li-Yorke chaos, Devaney chaos and so on(see for example [1], [3], [8], [9], [10], [19], [20] and [21]). In [4] was shown that if an A -coupled-expanding map f satisfies some expanding conditions, then there is a f -invariant set Λ such that $f|_{\Lambda}$ is conjugate to a subshift of finite type, consequently, it is distributionally chaotic(See Remark 3.3 of [4]). As above mentioned, recently the concept of A -coupled-expanding map which is one of the more natural and useful ideas generalized of the horseshoe map, is well known as a criterion of chaos, but there is no result yet on the relation between A -coupled-expanding and distributional chaos except [4]. Furthermore, distributional chaos is recognized as one of the concepts reflected strong chaotic behavior. This implies that it is natural to study the relation between A -coupled-expanding and distributional chaos or complement conditions for one to be other.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 some basic concepts and notations which will be used later are introduced. In Section 3 a sufficient condition for a strictly A -coupled-expanding map on a compact metric space to be distributionally chaotic in a sequence is established (Theorem 3.1) and give an example which illustrates that our results is remarkable. Finally it is proved that under stronger conditions the map is distributionally chaotic (Theorem 3.2).

2. Preliminaries

Let $\mathbb{N}$ be the set of all natural numbers and $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. For $m \geq 2$, let $A = (a_{ij})$ be an $m \times m$ matrix. The definitions of transition and irreducible matrix A follow [22].

Set $\mathcal{A} = \{1, \dots, m\}$ and the element of the $\mathcal{A}$ is called *alphabet*. It is well known that the set $\Sigma_m = \{\alpha = (a_0 a_1 \dots) \mid a_i \in \mathcal{A}, i \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ is a compact metric space with the metric

$$\rho(\alpha, \beta) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \alpha = \beta, \\ 2^{-(k+1)} & \text{if } \alpha \neq \beta \text{ and } k = \min\{i \mid a_i \neq b_i\}, \end{cases}$$

where $\alpha = (a_0 a_1 \dots), \beta = (b_0 b_1 \dots) \in \Sigma_m$. For $\alpha = (a_0 a_1 \dots a_i \dots)$, the subscript $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ is called the number of a_i in the α . Define the shift map $\sigma : \Sigma_m \rightarrow \Sigma_m$ by $\sigma(\alpha) = (a_1 a_2 \dots)$, where $\alpha = (a_0 a_1 a_2 \dots) \in \Sigma_m$. It is well known that for an $m \times m$ transition matrix $A = (a_{ij})$, $\Sigma_A = \{\beta = (b_0 b_1 \dots) \in \Sigma_m \mid a_{b_i b_{i+1}} = 1, i \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ is a compact subset of Σ_m , which is invariant under the shift map σ . The map $\sigma_A = \sigma|_{\Sigma_A}$ is said to be the subshift of finite type for matrix A .

For $(a_0 a_1 \dots) \in \Sigma_A$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the type of $(a_i a_{i+1} \dots a_{i+n})(i \in \mathbb{N}_0)$ is called *admissible word* for matrix A and denote $|(a_i a_{i+1} \dots a_{i+n})| = n + 1$ and call it the *length* of the

$(a_i a_{i+1} \dots a_{i+n})$. For $s, t \in \mathbb{N}$, let $u = (u_0 u_1 \dots u_s)$, $v = (v_0 v_1 \dots v_t)$, where $u_i, v_i \in \mathcal{A}$. Denote $uv = (u_0 u_1 \dots u_s v_0 v_1 \dots v_t)$ and call it the *combination* of u and v . Also, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, denote $u^n = uu \dots u$ (n times repeated). The following definition can be found in [16], [8] and [5].

Definition 2.1. ([16]) Let (X, d) be a metric space and $f : D \subset X \rightarrow X$. Suppose that $A = (a_{ij})$ is an $m \times m$ transition matrix for some $m \geq 2$. If there exist m nonempty subsets Λ_i ($1 \leq i \leq m$) of D with pairwise disjoint interiors such that

$$f(\Lambda_i) \supset \bigcup_{\substack{j \\ a_{ij}=1}} \Lambda_j$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq m$, then f is said to be an *A-coupled-expanding map* in Λ_i ($1 \leq i \leq m$). Moreover, the map f is said to be a *strictly A-coupled-expanding map* in Λ_i ($1 \leq i \leq m$) if $d(\Lambda_i, \Lambda_j) > 0$ for all $1 \leq i \neq j \leq m$.

Definition 2.2. ([8]) Let (X, d) be a compact metric space and $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a continuous map. For $x, y \in X$ set

$$F_{xy}^{(n)}(t) = \frac{1}{n} \# \{i : d(f^i(x), f^i(y)) < t, 0 \leq i < n\},$$

where $\#A$ denotes the cardinal number of set the A . Furthermore set

$$F_{xy}(t) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{xy}^{(n)}(t) \quad \text{and} \quad F_{xy}^*(t) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{xy}^{(n)}(t).$$

The functions $F_{xy}(t)$ and $F_{xy}^*(t)$ are called *lower* or *upper distribution functions* of x and y respectively. We consider the following three conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{D(1)} \quad & F_{xy}^* \equiv 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \exists s > 0, F_{xy}(s) = 0, \\ \text{D(2)} \quad & F_{xy}^* \equiv 1 \quad \text{and} \quad F_{xy} < F_{xy}^*, \\ \text{D(3)} \quad & F_{xy} < F_{xy}^*. \end{aligned}$$

If a pair of points (x, y) satisfies the condition D(k) ($k = 1, 2, 3$), then the pair (x, y) is called *distributionally chaotic pair of type k*. A subset of X containing at least two points is called *distributional scrambled set of type k* if any two points of the subset form distributionally chaotic pair of type k . Finally f is said to be *distributionally chaotic of type k* if f has an uncountable distributional scrambled set of type k .

Definition 2.3. ([5]) Let (X, d) be a compact metric space and $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a continuous map. Suppose that (p_i) is an increasing sequence of positive integers, $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} F_{xy}(t, (p_i)) &= \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \# \{i : d(f^{p_k}(x), f^{p_k}(y)) < t, 1 \leq k \leq n\}, \\ F_{xy}^*(t, (p_i)) &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \# \{i : d(f^{p_k}(x), f^{p_k}(y)) < t, 1 \leq k \leq n\}. \end{aligned}$$

A subset $D \subset X$ is called *distributionally chaotic set in a sequence* (or *in the sequence* (p_i)) if for any $x, y \in D$ with $x \neq y$

(1) there is an $\varepsilon > 0$ with $F_{xy}(\varepsilon, (p_k)) = 0$, and

(2) $F_{xy}^*(t, (p_k)) = 1$ for every $t > 0$.

If f has an uncountable distributionally chaotic set in a sequence, the map f is said to be *distributionally chaotic in a sequence*. Obviously distributional chaos of type 1 implies distributional chaos in a sequence.

3. Main results

Assume that (X, d) is a compact metric space, $m \geq 2$ and for $1 \leq i \leq m$ the sets V_i are compact subsets of X with pairwise disjoint interiors. Let $f : \bigcup_{i=1}^m V_i \rightarrow X$ be a continuous map and $A = (a_{ij})$ be an irreducible $m \times m$ transition matrix satisfying that

$$(*) \quad \text{there exists an } i_0 \text{ with } \sum_{j=1}^m a_{i_0 j} \geq 2.$$

Suppose that f is a strictly A -coupled-expanding map in the V_i ($1 \leq i \leq m$). For any $\beta = (b_0 b_1 \dots) \in \Sigma_A$, set $V_\beta = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} f^{-n}(V_{b_n})$, where f^0 is the identity map, and for any admissible word for the matrix A , $c = (c_0 c_1 \dots c_n)$, put $V_c = V_{c_0 c_1 \dots c_n} = \bigcap_{i=0}^n f^{-i}(V_{c_i})$. Then V_c is a nonempty compact subset. Moreover, $V_{c_0 c_1 \dots c_{n-1}} \supset V_{c_0 c_1 \dots c_{n-1} c_n}$ and $f(V_{c_0 c_1 \dots c_n}) = V_{c_1 \dots c_n}$. Therefore $V_\beta = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} V_{b_0 b_1 \dots b_n}$ and hence V_β is nonempty and compact. If $(c_0 c_1 \dots c_n), (d_0 d_1 \dots d_n)$ are two different admissible words for the matrix A , then $V_{c_0 c_1 \dots c_n} \cap V_{d_0 d_1 \dots d_n} = \emptyset$ (see [23]).

Theorem 3.1. *Let (X, d) be a compact metric space, $m \geq 2$. Suppose that $f : X \rightarrow X$ is a continuous map and A is an irreducible $m \times m$ transition matrix satisfying the assumption (*). Let f be strictly A -coupled-expanding in compact sets V_i ($1 \leq i \leq m$). If there exists an $\alpha = (a_0 a_1 \dots) \in \Sigma_A$ such that $V_\alpha = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} f^{-n}(V_{a_n})$ is a singleton, then there exists a sequence (p_k) such that f is distributionally chaotic in the sequence (p_k) .*

Proof. From the assumptions and the facts presented earlier in this section, it follows that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$(1) \quad f^n(V_\alpha) = V_{\sigma^n(\alpha)}$$

and $f^n(V_\alpha)$ also is singleton. Obviously there is at least one alphabet appearing infinitely in $\alpha = (a_0 a_1 \dots)$ and without loss of generality we may assume that a_0 appears infinitely in α . This means that there exists a strictly increasing sequence (ν_k) in $\mathbb{N}$ with $a_{\nu_k} = a_0$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Now for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, set $u_k = (a_0 a_1 \dots a_{\nu_k-1})$. Obviously, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, u_k^n is an admissible word for the matrix A .

Since the definition of irreducible matrix and the assumption (*), Lemma 2.4 of [22], there is an $a' \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $a_{a'a_0} = 1$, moreover, there are two different admissible words for the matrix A , $v_1 = (a_0 \dots a')$ and $v_2 = (a_0 \dots a')$, such that $|v_1| = |v_2|$. Obviously any combination of u_k, v_1, v_2 is admissible word for matrix A .

Define a map $\varphi : \Sigma_2 \rightarrow \Sigma_A$ by

$$(2) \quad \varphi(c) = v_{c_0}^{s_1} u_1^{s_2} v_{c_1}^{s_3} u_2^{s_4} v_{c_2}^{s_5} u_3^{s_6} v_{c_3}^{s_7} u_4^{s_8} v_{c_4}^{s_9} u_5^{s_{10}} v_{c_5}^{s_{11}} u_6^{s_{12}} v_{c_6}^{s_{13}} \dots$$

for $c = (c_0 c_1 c_2 c_3 c_4 \dots) \in \Sigma_2$, where the s_i are defined as following;

$$\begin{aligned} s_1 &= 1, \\ s_2 &= 2^1 |v_{c_0}^{s_1}|, \\ s_3 &= 2^2 |v_{c_0}^{s_1} u_1^{s_2}|, \\ s_4 &= 2^3 |v_{c_0}^{s_1} u_1^{s_2} v_{c_1}^{s_3}|, \\ s_5 &= 2^4 |v_{c_0}^{s_1} u_1^{s_2} v_{c_1}^{s_3} u_2^{s_4}|, \\ s_6 &= 2^5 |v_{c_0}^{s_1} u_1^{s_2} v_{c_1}^{s_3} u_2^{s_4} v_{c_2}^{s_5}|, \\ &\dots \end{aligned}$$

This map φ is well defined and obviously bijective by the definition. By the construction of the map φ , any two elements in the $\varphi(\Sigma_2)$ coincide in the parts appearing combinations of u_i . Also, for any two elements of $\varphi(\Sigma_2)$, the first alphabets a_0 of admissible words u_i or v_j appear in the same places. Now, for any $\alpha \in \varphi(\Sigma_2)$, rearranging the numbers of the first alphabets a_0 of u_i or v_j in the α , we make a strictly increasing sequence $(p_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ in $\mathbb{N}_0$. As (Σ_2, σ) is chaotic in the sense of Li-Yorke, it has an uncountable scrambled set which we denote by S . Put $D_0 = \varphi(S)$. Then D_0 is also uncountable. Since the facts presented earlier in this section, it follows that for any $\hat{\alpha} = (\hat{a}_0 \hat{a}_1 \dots) \in D_0$, $V_{\hat{\alpha}}$ is nonempty and if $\hat{\alpha} \neq \hat{\beta} \in D_0$, then $V_{\hat{\alpha}} \cap V_{\hat{\beta}} = \emptyset$. Now, for each $\hat{\alpha} \in D_0$, we choose only one element from $V_{\hat{\alpha}}$ and fix it by denoting $x_{\hat{\alpha}}$. Set $G = \{x_{\hat{\alpha}} \mid \hat{\alpha} \in D_0\}$.

We prove that this set G is the uncountable distributional scrambled set in the sequence $(p_k)_{k=1}^\infty$. It is obvious that the G is uncountable. Using condition of this theorem and the facts presented earlier in this section, one obtains that $(\text{diam}(V_{a_0 a_1 \dots a_n}))_{n=0}^\infty$ is a non increasing sequence and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{diam}(V_{a_0 a_1 \dots a_n}) = 0$, where $\text{diam}(V)$ denotes diameter of V . Therefore for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is an $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(3) \quad \text{diam}(V_{a_0 a_1 \dots a_n}) < \varepsilon$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geq n_0$. For any $\hat{\alpha} = (\hat{a}_0 \hat{a}_1 \dots) \in D_0$ we can choose a subsequence (p_{k_j}) of (p_k) such that (p_{k_j}) -th alphabet is the first alphabet (that is, a_0) of a combination $u_i^{s_k}$. By (2), there is a p_{k_j} such that $(\hat{a}_{p_{k_j}} \hat{a}_{p_{k_j}+1} \hat{a}_{p_{k_j}+2} \dots \hat{a}_{p_{k_j}+1-1}) = (a_0 a_1 \dots a_{p_{k_j}+1-p_{k_j}-1}) = u_{p_{k_j}+1-p_{k_j}}$ and

$$(4) \quad |u_{p_{k_j}+1-p_{k_j}}| = p_{k_j}+1 - p_{k_j} > n_0.$$

From (2) there is a subsequence $(s_{k'_j})$ of (s_i) such that $\hat{\alpha}$ contains combination of admissible words, $u_{p_{k_j}+1-p_{k_j}}^{s_{k'_j}}$. Fix $j \in \mathbb{N}$ arbitrarily. Then, by (3) and (4), for any i with $k_j \leq i \leq$

$k_j + s_{k'_j} - 1$, it follows that $f^{p_i}(x_{\hat{\alpha}}), f^{p_i}(x_{\hat{\beta}}) \in V_{u_{p_{k_j+1}-p_{k_j}}}$ for any $x_{\hat{\alpha}}, x_{\hat{\beta}} \in G$. Since $\text{diam}(V_{u_{p_{k_j+1}-p_{k_j}}}) < \varepsilon$, we have $d(f^{p_i}(x_{\hat{\alpha}}), f^{p_i}(x_{\hat{\beta}})) < \varepsilon$. Thus, from the definition of s_i , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\#\{i : d(f^{p_i}(x_{\hat{\alpha}}), f^{p_i}(x_{\hat{\beta}})) < \varepsilon, 1 \leq i \leq k_j + s_{k'_j} - 1\}}{k_j + s_{k'_j} - 1} \\ & \geq \frac{s_{k'_j}}{k_j + s_{k'_j} - 1} \\ & \geq \frac{s_{k'_j}}{p_{k_j} + s_{k'_j} - 1} \\ & = \frac{s_{k'_j}}{2^{-k'_j+1}s_{k'_j} + s_{k'_j} - 1} \rightarrow 1 (j \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned}$$

By above expression and the definition of superior limit of sequence, we have

$$(5) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{i : d(f^{p_i}(x_{\hat{\alpha}}), f^{p_i}(x_{\hat{\beta}})) < \varepsilon, 1 \leq i \leq n\}}{n} = 1.$$

Next set $d_0 = d(V_{v_1}, V_{v_2}) > 0$. Since any two different elements of the set $S \subset \Sigma_2$ is Li-Yorke pair, the set $\{i : c_i \neq d_i\}$ is infinite for $c = (c_0c_1\ldots) \neq d = (d_0d_1\ldots) \in S$. Therefore, without loss of generality, we may assume that for $\hat{\alpha} \neq \hat{\beta} \in D_0$, there exist a subsequence $(p_{q_j})_{j=1}^\infty$ of the sequence (p_k) and a subsequence $(s_{q'_j})_{j=1}^\infty$ of the sequence (s_i) such that ,

$$\sigma^{p_{q_j}}(\hat{\alpha}) = (v_1^{s_{q'_j}} \ldots) \text{ and } \sigma^{p_{q_j}}(\hat{\beta}) = (v_2^{s_{q'_j}} \ldots)$$

hold. Fix $j \in \mathbb{N}$ arbitrarily. For i with $q_j \leq i \leq q_j + s_{q'_j} - 1$, it follows that $f^{p_i}(x_{\hat{\alpha}}) \in V_{v_1}$ and $f^{p_i}(x_{\hat{\beta}}) \in V_{v_2}$. This means that $d(f^{p_i}(x_{\hat{\alpha}}), f^{p_i}(x_{\hat{\beta}})) \geq d_0$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\#\{i : d(f^{p_i}(x_{\hat{\alpha}}), f^{p_i}(x_{\hat{\beta}})) < d_0, 1 \leq i \leq q_j + s_{q'_j} - 1\}}{q_j + s_{q'_j} - 1} \\ & \leq \frac{q_j - 1}{q_j + s_{q'_j} - 1} \\ & \leq \frac{2^{-q'_j+1}s_{q'_j} - 1}{2^{-q'_j+1}s_{q'_j} + s_{q'_j} - 1} \rightarrow 0 (j \rightarrow \infty), \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$(6) \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{i : d(f^{p_i}(x_{\hat{\alpha}}), f^{p_i}(x_{\hat{\beta}})) < d_0, 1 \leq i \leq n\}}{n} = 0.$$

From (5) and (6), the set G is the uncountable distributional scrambled set in the sequence (p_k) , therefore, we can conclude that the map f is distributionally chaotic in the sequence (p_k) . $\square$

Example 3.1. Define $f : [0, 3] \rightarrow [0, 3]$ as following;

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1.5x + 2, & \text{if } x \in [0, \frac{1}{3}] \\ 2.5, & \text{if } x \in (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}] \\ 1.5x + 1.5, & \text{if } x \in (\frac{2}{3}, 1] \\ 3, & \text{if } x \in (1, 2] \\ -3x + 9, & \text{if } x \in (2, 3]. \end{cases}$$

Set

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and $V_1 = [0, 1]$, $V_2 = [2, 3]$. This matrix A is an irreducible transition matrix satisfying the assumption (*) and f is a strictly A -coupled-expanding in V_1, V_2 . Also this map f satisfies the remaining conditions of the Theorem 3.1. Therefore, the map f has an uncountable distributional scrambled set in a sequence. However, f does not satisfy the epsilon-delta condition of the Theorem 1 from [4], that is, set $B = \{2.5\}$, then $\text{diam}(B)=0$ and $\text{diam}(f^{-1}(B) \cap V_1) = \frac{1}{3}$.

Theorem 3.2. Let (X, d) be a compact metric space, $m \geq 2$. Suppose that $f : X \rightarrow X$ is a continuous map and A is an irreducible $m \times m$ transition matrix satisfying the assumption (*). Let f be strictly A -coupled-expanding in the compact sets $V_i (1 \leq i \leq m)$. If there exists a periodic point $\alpha = (a_0 a_1 \dots) \in \Sigma_A$ such that $V_\alpha = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} f^{-n}(V_{a_n})$ is a singleton, then f is distributionally chaotic of type 1.

Proof. Denote the period of α by T , that is, $\sigma_A^T(\alpha) = \alpha$. Then $\#\{\sigma_A^n(\alpha) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}_0\} = T$. From the assumption of the theorem, $f^n(V_\alpha) = V_{\sigma_A^n(\alpha)} = \bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} V_{a_n a_{n+1} \dots a_{n+i}}$ is a singleton for any $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Furthermore, for any $n \in \{0, 1, \dots, T-1\}$ the sequence $(\text{diam}(V_{a_n \dots a_{n+i}}))_{i=0}^{\infty}$ is nonincreasing and satisfies $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \text{diam}(V_{a_n \dots a_{n+i}}) = 0$. Set $d_k = \max\{\text{diam}(V_{a_n \dots a_{n+k}}) \mid 0 \leq n \leq T-1\}$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$(7) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d_k = 0.$$

Since the definition of irreducible matrix and the assumption (*), there exists an $a' \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $(A)_{a'a_0} = 1$. There are two different admissible words $u = (a_0 \dots a')$, $v = (a_0 \dots a')$ for the matrix A such that $|u| = |v| = l$. Also, since the matrix A is irreducible, there exist $i, j \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $(A)_{a_0 i} = (A)_{j a_0} = 1$ and there is an admissible word $C = (i \dots j)$ for the matrix A .

For $p \in \mathbb{N}$ set $B_p = (a_0 a_1 \dots a_{p-1})$. Define $\bar{B}_p$ as following; If $a_{p-1} = a_0$ we assume that $\bar{B}_p$ vanishes. Otherwise, there is a $q \in \{1, 2, \dots, T-1\}$ such that $a_{p-1} = a_q$. In this case set $\bar{B}_p = (a_{q+1} a_{q+2} \dots a_T)$. Construct a map $\varphi : \Sigma_2 \rightarrow \Sigma_A$ as following; Define a map $\psi : \{1, 2\} \rightarrow \{u, v\}$ as $\psi(1) = u$, $\psi(2) = v$. Now define the map φ by

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} & \varphi(a_0 a_1 a_2 \dots) \\ &= a_0 C \psi(a_0)^{m_1} B_{p_2} \bar{B}_{p_2} C \psi(a_1)^{m_3} \psi(a_2)^{m_4} B_{p_5} \bar{B}_{p_5} C \psi(a_3)^{m_6} \psi(a_4)^{m_7} \\ & \quad \psi(a_5)^{m_8} B_{p_9} \bar{B}_{p_9} C \psi(a_6)^{m_{10}} \psi(a_7)^{m_{11}} \psi(a_8)^{m_{12}} \psi(a_9)^{m_{13}} \dots \end{aligned}$$

where m_i is 2^i th power of the length of the subword to the left of $\psi(a_j)^{m_i}$ in (8) and p_i is 2^i th power of the length of the subword to the left of B_{p_i} in (8). Obviously any subwords in the sequence defined in (8) are the admissible words for the matrix A , that is, the map φ is well defined. By the construction of (8), the map φ is bijective. Since the shift (Σ_2, σ) is chaotic in sense of Li-Yorke, σ has an uncountable scrambled set in Σ_2 . Denote the image of this scrambled set by φ as D_0 . For any $\hat{\alpha} \in D_0$, we choose an element from $V_{\hat{\alpha}}$ and fix it, denoting as $x_{\hat{\alpha}}$. Denote the set consisting of these elements as $D_x(\subset \bigcup_{i=1}^m V_i)$.

Now we prove that this D_x is uncountable distributional scrambled set of type 1. It is obvious that D_x is uncountable. Fix $x_{\hat{\alpha}}, x_{\hat{\beta}} \in D_x$ arbitrarily. (7) implies that for every $t > 0$ there is a $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $d_k < t$. Let $\hat{\alpha} \neq \hat{\beta} \in D_0$. Obviously, for any i , the end alphabet of the combination $B_{p_i} \bar{B}_{p_i}$ is a_0 . Denote the number of the a_0 in $\hat{\alpha}$ as n_i . By definition of φ , n_i also is the number of the end a_0 of $B_{p_i} \bar{B}_{p_i}$ in $\hat{\beta}$. Consider $|\bar{B}_{p_i}| \leq T$, then we can see easily that $n_i \leq p_i(1 + 2^{-i}) + T$. The choice of p_i gives that $|B_{p_i}| < |B_{p_j}|$ for $i < j$, and that there is an i_0 such that $|B_{p_i}| > k$ for $i \geq i_0$.

Therefore, for $i \geq i_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\#\{s : d(f^s(x_{\hat{\alpha}}), f^s(x_{\hat{\beta}})) < t, 0 \leq s < n_i\}}{n_i} \\ & \geq \frac{\#\{s : d(f^s(x_{\hat{\alpha}}), f^s(x_{\hat{\beta}})) < d_k, 0 \leq s < n_i\}}{n_i} \\ & \geq \frac{\#\{s : (a_s \dots a_{s+k}) \text{ lies in } B_{p_i}\}}{n_i} \\ & = \frac{p_i - k}{n_i} \\ & \geq \frac{p_i - k}{p_i(1 + 2^{-i}) + T} \rightarrow 1 (i \rightarrow \infty), \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$(9) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{s : d(f^s(x_{\hat{\alpha}}), f^s(x_{\hat{\beta}})) < t, 0 \leq s < n\}}{n} = 1.$$

Denote the set of all admissible words for the matrix A with the length l as W . Choose d_0 such that

$$0 < d_0 < \min\{d(V_c, V_d) \mid c \neq d \in W\}.$$

Assume that $\hat{\alpha} = (\hat{a}_0 \hat{a}_1 \dots) \neq \hat{\beta} = (\hat{b}_0 \hat{b}_1 \dots) \in D_0$. From the definition of φ and the construction of D_0 we may assume without loss of generality that there is an increasing sequence (r_j) in $\mathbb{N}$ such that combinations $u^{m_{r_j}}$ and $v^{m_{r_j}}$ appear in the same place of $\hat{\alpha}$, $\hat{\beta}$, respectively. Denote by ν_j the original number of a' in the sequence $\hat{\alpha}$ (or $\hat{\beta}$) which is

the latest a' in $u^{m_{r_j}}$ (or $v^{m_{r_j}}$). Since $\nu_j = m_{r_j}l_1 + 2^{-r_j}m_{r_j}$ one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\#\{s : d(f^s(x_{\hat{\alpha}}), f^s(x_{\hat{\beta}})) < d_0, 0 \leq s < \nu_j\}}{\nu_j} \\
& \leq \frac{\nu_j - \#\{s : (e_s \dots e_{l_1+s-1}) \in E_{m_{r_j}}, s \geq 0\}}{\nu_j} \\
& = \frac{\nu_j - (m_{r_j}l_1 - l_1 + 1)}{\nu_j} \\
& = \frac{2^{-r_j}m_{r_j} + l_1 - 1}{m_{r_j}l_1 + 2^{-r_j}m_{r_j}} \rightarrow 0 (j \rightarrow \infty).
\end{aligned}$$

From the definition of inferior limit of a sequence, we have

$$(10) \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{s : d(f^s(x_{\hat{\alpha}}), f^s(x_{\hat{\beta}})) < d_0, 0 \leq s < n\}}{n} = 0.$$

From (9) and (10) we can see that any two different elements in D_x form a distributional chaotic pair and therefore the map f is distributionally chaotic of type 1. $\square$

Remark 3.1. Consider the map f of Example 3.1. For the periodic sequence $\alpha = (121212\dots)$, V_α is a singleton. Thus the map f is also distributionally chaotic of type 1.

Remark 3.2. In Theorem 2 of [4] was proved that a strictly A -coupled-expanding map f satisfying two additional assumptions is topologically conjugate to a subshift of finite type in a compact f -invariant subset. Moreover, using this facts, in the Remark 3.3 of [4] they said that if assumptions of the Theorem 2 are satisfied, then the f is distributionally chaotic. However, Example 3.1 does not satisfy the assumptions of the Theorem 2 from [4].

References

References

- [1] F. Balibrea, J. Smítal, M. Štefánková, *The three versions of distributional chaos*, Chaos Solitons Fractals **23** (2005), 1581–1583.
- [2] L. Block, W. Coppel, *Dynamics on One Dimension*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics Vol. 1513, Springer, Berlin, 1992.
- [3] G. L. Forti, *Various notions of chaos for discrete dynamical systems, a brief survey*, Aequationes Math. **70** (2005), 1–13.
- [4] M. Kulczycki, P. Oprocha, *Coupled-expanding maps and matrix shifts*, Internat. J. Bifur. Chaos. **23** (2013), (6 pages).
- [5] H. Liu, L. Wang, Z. Chu, *Devaney's Chaos implies distributional chaos in a sequence*, Nonlinear Anal. **71** (2009) 6144–6147.

- [6] M. Misiurewicz, *Horseshoes for mappings of the interval*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. **27** (1979), 167–169.
- [7] M. Misiurewicz, *Horseshoes for continuous mappings of an interval*, In: *Dynamical systems* (Bressanone, 1978), pp. 125–135, Liguori, Naples, 1980.
- [8] P. Oprocha, *Relations between distributional and Devaney chaos*, Chaos **16** (2006), 033112, 5 pp.
- [9] P. Oprocha, *Distributional chaos via semiconjugacy*, Nonlinearity **20** (2007), 2661–2679.
- [10] P. Oprocha, *Distributional chaos revisited*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **361** (2009), 4901–4925.
- [11] Ch. Ri, H. Ju, *Entropy for A-coupled expanding map and chaos*, Preprint.
- [12] C. Robinson, *Dynamical system – Stability, Symbolic Dynamics and Chaos*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, 1999.
- [13] B. Schweizer, J. Smítal, *Measures of chaos and a spectral decomposition of dynamical systems on the interval*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **344** (1994), 737–754.
- [14] Y. Shi, G. Chen, *Chaos of discrete dynamical systems in complete metric spaces*, Chaos Solitons Fractals **22** (2004), 555–571.
- [15] Y. Shi, G. Chen, *Some new criteria of chaos induced by coupled-expanding maps*, In Proc. 1st IFAC Conf. Analysis and Control of Chaotic systems, Reims, France, pp. 157–162.
- [16] Y. Shi, H. Ju, G. Chen, *Coupled-expanding maps and one-sided symbolic dynamical systems*, Chaos Solitons Fractals **39** (2009), 2138–2149.
- [17] Y. Shi, P. Yu, *Study on chaos induced by turbulent maps in noncompact sets*, Chaos Solitons Fractals **28** (2006), 1165–1180.
- [18] Y. Shi, P. Yu, *Chaos induced by regular snap-back repellers*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **337** (2008), 1480–1494.
- [19] J. Smítal, *Various notions of chaos, recent results, open problems*, Report on the Summer Symposium in Real Analysis XXVI, Real Anal. Exchange 2002, 26th Summer Symposium Conference, Suppl., pp. 81–85
- [20] H. Wang, J. Xiang, *Chaos for subshifts of finite type*, Acta Math. Sinica **21** (2005), 1407–1414.
- [21] L. Wang, G. Huang, S. Huan, *Distributional chaos in a sequence*, Nonlinear Anal. **67** (2007), 2131–2136.
- [22] X. Zhang, Y. Shi, *Coupled-expanding maps for irreducible transition matrices*, Internat. J. Bifur. Chaos. **20** (2010), 3769–3783.
- [23] X. Zhang, Y. Shi, G. Chen, *Some properties of coupled-expanding maps in compact sets*, Preprint.
- [24] ZS. Zhang, *On the shift invariant sets of self-maps*, Acta Math. Sin. **27** (1984), 564–576.